



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,858	05/30/2001	Todd D. Andersen	P1065	8680
<div>7590 11/14/2007</div> <div>Todd N. Hathaway 119 N. Commercial St., #620 Bellingham, WA 98225-4437</div>				
<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>BRITTAIN, JAMES R</div>				
<div>ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER</div> <div>3677</div>				
<div>MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>11/14/2007 PAPER</div>				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No. 09/870,858	Applicant(s) ANDERSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner James R. Brittain	Art Unit 3677	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 12 October 2007 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
- d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The amendment document is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item is required.

The amendments to the specification do not comply with 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(ii). Applicant has failed to provide the full text of the replacement paragraphs with markings to show all the changes relative to the previous version of the paragraph. Instead the amendment appears to propose to change only a single sentence within each multi-sentence paragraph, leaving out entirely the remaining sentences in the paragraph. The replacement paragraph must account for the entire text of the previous version of the paragraph and the proposed replacement paragraphs fail to do so. Additionally, the underlined subject matter in each replacement paragraph is also placed within double brackets and therefore fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(ii) because such editing indicates that the underlined subject matter existed in the previous version of the paragraph and is now being deleted while simultaneously indicating that the underlined subject matter is being added to the previous version of the paragraph.



**DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

/James R. Brittain/
James R. Brittain
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3677